	Case 1:21-cv-01544-JLT Document 2	2 Filed 10/26/21 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	FERNANDO ALVAREZ,	1:21-cv-01544-JLT (HC)
12	Petitioner,	
13	v.	ORDER TRANSFERRING CASE TO THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
14	BRIAN CATES,	
15	Respondent.	
16		
17	The federal venue statute requires that a civil action, other than one based on diversity	
18	jurisdiction, be brought only in "(1) a judicial district in which any defendant resides, if all	
19	defendants are residents of the State in which the district is located, (2) a judicial district in which	
20	a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred, or a substantial part	
21	of property that is the subject of the action is situated, or (3) if there is no district in which an action	
22	may otherwise be brought as provided in this	section, any judicial district in which any defendant
23	is subject to the court's personal jurisdiction with respect to such action." 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b).	
24	In this case, the petitioner is challenging a conviction from Los Angeles County, which is	
25	in the Central District of California. Therefore, the petition should have been filed in the United	
26	States District Court for the Central District of California. In the interest of justice, a federal court	
27	may transfer a case filed in the wrong district to the correct district. See 28 U.S.C. § 1406(a);	
28	Starnes v. McGuire, 512 F.2d 918, 932 (D.C. Cir. 1974).	
	.l	1

	Case 1:21-cv-01544-JLT Document 2 Filed 10/26/21 Page 2 of 2
1	Accordingly, the Court ORDERS that this matter is transferred to the United States District
2	Court for the Central District of California.
3	
4	IT IS SO ORDERED.
5	Dated: October 26, 2021/s/ Jennifer L. Thurston
6	CHIEF UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	2